

25.1. Elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} .

Basic Theorem (Mordell - Weil) Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an EC.

The group $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated.

This means that

$$E(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Tor}(E) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^r$$

where $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the torsion subgroup } \text{Tor}(E) \\ \text{the rank } r \end{array} \right\}$ are finite.

(The same is true when \mathbb{Q} is replaced by any number field.)

Mazur's Theorem. $\text{Tor}(E)$ is $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq m \leq 10$
or $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$ $1 \leq m \leq 4$.

(Conversely, all of these groups occur for infinitely many EC's E .)

Goldfeld's Conjecture.

"Half of all elliptic curves" have rank 0

Half have rank 1

The rest have rank ≥ 2 .

The Bounded Rank Conjecture. (Park, Poonen, Voight, Wood, 2016 (!!!))

There is some integer r_{\max} s.t.

$\text{rk } E(\mathbb{Q}) \leq r_{\max}$ for all ell. curves E/\mathbb{Q} .

Indeed, probably $\text{rk } E \leq 21$ for all but finitely many.

25.2.

Average Ranks:

Theorem. (Bhargava - Shankar, 2013)

The average rank of EC's over \mathbb{Q} , when bounded by height, is $\leq .885$.

More precisely: An EC/ \mathbb{Q} can be rewritten

$$E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$$

$$\text{Then } H(E) = \max\{4|A|^3, 27|B|^2\}.$$

$$\text{Then } \limsup_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{H(E) \leq M} \text{rk}(E)}{\sum_{H(E) \leq M} 1} \leq 0.885.$$

We'll focus on proving Mordell-Weil.

Two components.

(1) The "Weak Mordell-Weil Theorem":

The group $E(\mathbb{Q}) / 2E(\mathbb{Q})$ is finite.

(In fact: For any NF K and positive integer m , $E(K) / mE(K)$ is finite.

In particular, this yields that the rank is finite, but not necessarily the torsion.

25.3
(2) Height functions.

There is a height function $h: E(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying:

(1) For any point $Q \in E$, there is a constant $C_1 = C_1(E, Q)$ so that for all $P \in E$,
$$h(P+Q) \leq 2h(P) + C_1.$$

(2) There is an integer $m \geq 2$ and constant $C_2 = C_2(E)$ with
$$h(mP) \geq m^2 h(P) - C_2 \quad \text{for all } P \in E.$$

(3) For every constant $C_3 > 0$,
$$\{P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) : h(P) \leq C_3\}$$
 is finite.

("Descent Theorem")

Proposition, Let A be any abelian group satisfying (1)-(3) and s.t. A/mA is finite. Then A is finitely generated.

The game plan.

(a) Define the height, show how it interacts with the group law, and prove (1)-(3) above. (Not too bad)

(b) Prove the descent theorem (easy)

(c) Prove Weak Mordell-Weil (subtle)

(d) Further discussion of (c).

Selmer and Shafarevich - Tate groups, BSD etc.

25.4.

Heights on projective space.

For some N , given $[x_0 : \dots : x_N] \in \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{Q})$.

We have $[x_0 : \dots : x_N] = \left[\frac{a_0}{b_0} : \frac{a_1}{b_1} : \dots : \frac{a_N}{b_N} \right]$

where not all the a_i are zero, and all the a_i, b_i are integers.

After multiplying by $\text{lcm}(b_i)$ we may assume that the x_i are all integers with no common factor.

Up to ± 1 , we may write any point of $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{Q})$ in such a way.

Definition. Given $P = [x_0 : \dots : x_N] \in \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{Q})$ represented as above. Its height is

$$H(P) = \max(|x_0|, \dots, |x_N|)$$

and its logarithmic height is

$$h(P) = \log H(P).$$

Lemma. For any B , $\{P \in \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{Q}) : h(P) \leq B\}$ is finite.

Proof. If $h(P) \leq B$, then

$P = [x_0 : \dots : x_n]$ with all x_i in $[-e^B, e^B]$.

"Schnell's Theorem".

For any B ,

$$\{P \in \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{Q}) : h(P) \leq B\} \sim \frac{2^n}{3(n+1)} B^{n+1}.$$

Exercise! This is not difficult.

25.5.

To get heights on an elliptic curve, we could embed into \mathbb{P}^2 . But, the following is easier. Define

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathbb{Q}) &\xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}) \\ [x:y:1] &\longrightarrow [x:1] \\ [0:1:0] &\longrightarrow [1:0]. \end{aligned}$$

Exercise. Is π a morphism?

Then define $H(P) = H(\pi(P))$ and similarly with h .

Heights and the group law.

Given $(x,y) = P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$. $E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$

Recall we had

$$x(2P) = \frac{x^4 - 2Ax^2 - 8Bx + A^2}{4x^3 + 4Ax + 4B}.$$

If $x = \frac{a}{b}$ with a, b big and coprime, here we get a fraction with denominator b^4 . The numerator should also be something like a^4 .

So expect $h(2P) \sim 4 \cdot h(P)$, at least if $h(P)$ is big enough.

Problem. Is there any cancellation?

26.1.

Heights on algebraic varieties.

In $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{Q})$, write $x = (x_0 : x_1 : \dots : x_n)$ with all $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$
no common factor

$$H(x) = \max_i (|x_i|), \quad h(x) = \log H(x).$$

Define a height on $E(\mathbb{Q})$ by $E \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^1$
 $[x : y : 1] \rightarrow [x : 1]$
 $[0 : 1 : 0] \rightarrow [1 : 0]$

$$h(P) := H(\pi(E)).$$

Claim. For any constant $c_3 > 0$,
 $\{P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) : h(P) \leq c_3\}$ is finite.

This is obvious, if $h(P) \leq c_3$ then $x(P) = \frac{a}{b}$ with
 $|a|, |b| \leq e^{c_3}$. Only finitely many such.
For fixed x , two possibilities for y .

Claim. Let $P_0 \in E(\mathbb{Q})$. There is a constant $c_1 = c_1(P_0, E)$
such that $h(P + P_0) \leq 2h(P) + c_1$ for all P .

Proof. (Exercise: do the algebra. verify details)
Taking $c_1 > \max(h(P_0), h(2P_0))$, may assume
~~write~~ $P_0 \neq 0, P \neq 0, \neq P_0$.

Write

$$P = (x, y) = \left(\frac{a}{d^2}, \frac{b}{d^3} \right) \quad P_0 = (x_0, y_0) \\ = \left(\frac{a_0}{d_0^2}, \frac{b_0}{d_0^3} \right)$$

in lowest terms.

26.2.

Why like this? $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$, $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If $v_p(x) = a$ with $a < 0$,

$$v_p(x^3 + Ax + B) = 3a. \text{ So } v_p(y) = 3a.$$

The addition formula says,

$$x(P + P_0) = \left(\frac{y - y_0}{x - x_0} \right)^2 - x - x_0$$

$$= \frac{(aa_0 + Ad^2d_0^2)(ad_0^2 + a_0d^2) + 2Bd^4d_0^4 - 2bdb_0d_0}{(ad_0^2 - a_0d^2)^2}$$

Is it in lowest terms? Maybe. Maybe not. We don't know.

$$H(P + P_0) \leq \max(|\text{numerator}|, |\text{denominator}|)$$

Then (exercise): This is $\leq C \max(|a|^2, |d|^4, |bd|)$.
allowed to depend on A, B, a_0, b_0, d_0

Almost what we want!

$$H(P) = \max(|a|, |d|^2). \text{ } |bd| \text{ is annoying.}$$

Can we bound b ?

We know

$$\left(\frac{b}{d^3} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{a}{d^3} \right)^3 + A \left(\frac{a}{d^3} \right) + B$$

$$b^2 = a^3 + Aad^4 + Bd^6$$

and so $|b| \leq C' \max(|a|^{3/2}, |d|^3)$.
another const. depends on A, B .

$$\text{So } |bd| \leq C \max(|a|^{3/2} |d|, |d|^4)$$

26.3

$$\text{and } H(P + P_0) \leq C C' \max(|a|^2, |d|^4, |a|^{3/2} |d|) \\ \leq C'' \max(|a|^2, |d|^4) \text{ as required.}$$

Remark. This didn't really depend on the specifics of what we were doing.

Final claim. There is a constant $C_2 = C_2(A, B)$ s.t.

for all $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$,

$$h(2P) \geq 4h(P) - C_2.$$

This is harder. Do you see why?

Proof. We may assume $2P \neq 0$.
(Choose $C_2 \geq 4h(T)$ for all $T \in E(\mathbb{Q})[2]$.)

$$\text{Then } x(2P) = \frac{x^4 - 2Ax^2 - 8Bx + A^2}{4x^3 + 4Ax + 4B}.$$

What is easy. Prove $h(2P) \leq 4h(P) + C_3$.

Proof of claim in Silverman - Tate.

$$y^2 = f(x)$$

We also had

$$x(2P) = \frac{(f'(x))^2 - 8xf(x)}{4f(x)}$$

where f and f' do not share a common root.
So the numerator and denominator don't.

Prove our claim in more generality.

26.4.

Lemma. (Silverman - Tate, p. 72)

Let ϕ, ψ be integer polynomials w/ no common roots.
Let d be the maximum of the degrees.

(a) There is an integer $R \geq 1$ depending on ϕ, ψ s.t.
for all rational numbers $\frac{m}{n}$,

$$\gcd\left(n^d \phi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right), n^d \psi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\right) \text{ divides } R.$$

(b) There are constants c_1, c_2 ~~also~~ depending on ϕ, ψ
s.t. for all rational numbers $\frac{m}{n}$, not roots of ψ ,

$$dh\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) - c_1 \leq h\left(\frac{\phi(m/n)}{\psi(m/n)}\right) \leq dh\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) + c_2.$$

In some sense (a) is the point. You don't get much
cancellation in $\frac{\phi(m/n)}{\psi(m/n)}$.

Proof. (a) wlog $d = \deg(\phi) \geq \deg(\psi)$. (can switch!!)

$$\text{Write } n^d \phi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = a_0 m^d + a_1 m^{d-1} n + \dots + a_d n^d$$

with all $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ have no common roots.

By the Euclidean algorithm there exist $F(x), G(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$
with

$$F(x)\phi(x) + G(x)\psi(x) = 1.$$

Choose $A \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $AF(x), AG(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Write $D = \max(\deg F, \deg G)$.

26.5

Evaluate our identity at $X = \frac{m}{n}$

$$F\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) \phi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) + G\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) \psi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = 1$$

$$\left(n^D A F\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\right) \cdot n^d \phi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)$$

$$+ \left(n^D A G\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\right) \cdot n^d \psi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = A n^{D+d}$$

Let $\gamma = \gcd(n^d \phi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right), n^d \psi\left(\frac{m}{n}\right))$, $\gamma \mid A n^{D+d}$

we claim $\gamma \mid A a_0^{D+d}$. (this will prove (a))

why?

$$\gamma \mid A n^{D+2d-1} \phi(m, n) = A a_0^d m^d n^{D+d-1} + A a_1 m^{d-1} n^{D+d} + \dots + A a_d n^{D+2d-1}$$

Every term after the first is an integer times $A n^{D+d}$

$$\text{So } \gamma \mid A a_0 m^d n^{D+d-1} \text{ and } \gamma \mid A n^{D+d} \phi(m, n) = 1.$$

$$\text{So } \gamma \mid A a_0 n^{D+d-1}$$

So repeat the above with $\gamma \mid A a_0 n^{D+2d-2}$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma \mid A a_0^2 n^{D+d-2}$$

etc. Get $\gamma \mid A a_0^{D+d}$