

p.1. (24)

Start with a local field  $K$ .

Think:  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  (define what  $\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p$  are)

Let  $R$  be its ring of integers  
 $\mathfrak{m}$  its maximal ideal

$v$  the associated valuation

$k =$  residue field ( $\mathbb{F}_p$ ).

Given an EC  $y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$   
or  $y^2 = x^3 + a_4x + a_6$  (char  $k \neq 2, 3$ ).

A Weierstrass equation is minimal if  $v(\Delta)$  is minimized.

Example.  $y^2 = x^3 + \cancel{7^6}$ .

This is isomorphic to  $y^2 = x^3 + 1$   
over  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}_7$ .

The latter can be reduced mod 7.

To do a change of variable

$$u^6 y^2 = u^6 x^3 + u^6 a_4 x + u^6 a_6$$

$$(u^3 y)^2 = (u^2 x)^3 + u^4 a_4 (u^2 x) + u^6 a_6.$$

So replace  $a_4$  with  $u^4 a_4$  and  $u^6 a_6$ .

In particular, if  $v(a_4) \geq 4$  and  $v(a_6) \geq 6$ ,

can cut the problem down to size.

p. 2.

So we get a reduction map  $\text{mod } \mathfrak{m}$  (or  $\text{mod } \pi$  where  $\mathfrak{m} = (\pi)$ ).

Over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , this just means we choose coeffs. over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and try to do it as efficiently as possible.

Proposition. Define

local field

$$E_0(K) = \{ P \in E(K) : \tilde{P} \in \tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}(k) \}$$

reduction mod  $\pi$

residue field

nonsingular points of  $\tilde{E}$  as a curve over  $k$ .  
If  $E$  has good reduction at  $\pi$ , then  $\tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}(k) = \tilde{E}(k)$ .

$$E_1(K) = \{ P \in E(K) : \tilde{P} = \tilde{0} \}$$

(kernel of reduction)

There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_1(K) \rightarrow E_0(K) \xrightarrow{\substack{\text{reduction} \\ \text{mod } \pi}} \tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}(k) \rightarrow 0$$

Proof. For simplicity assume  $E$  has good reduction, so  $\tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}(k) = \tilde{E}(k)$ . Then  $E_0(K) = E(K)$ .

Injectivity is obvious.

Exactness in the middle is also obvious.

But why the hell is it surjective?

P. 3.

Let  $f(x, y) = y^2 - (x^3 + a_4x + a_6) = 0$  be a  
min Weierstrass  
equation.

Given any  $\tilde{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 4, \beta \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{E}(k)$  not the identity.  
( $\alpha$  maps to  $\tilde{\alpha}$ , so surjectivity is automatic  
there.)

Then  $\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x}(\tilde{P}) \neq 0$  or  $\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial y}(\tilde{P}) \neq 0$ .

Assume (more or less WLOG)  $\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x}(\tilde{P}) \neq 0$ .

We can lift  $\beta$  (arbitrarily) to  $y_0 \in R$ .

Look at  $f(x, y_0) = 0$ . (equ. in the one variable  
 $x$  over  $R$ )

Reduce it modulo  $\pi$ .

Then  $4$  is a root, and it is a simple root since

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x}(4, \tilde{y}_0) \neq 0.$$

Invoke Hensel's Lemma. There is  $x_0 \in R$  with

$\tilde{x}_0 = 4$  and  $f(x_0, y_0) = 0$ . That's the solution we're

looking for.

~~Corollary~~

Proposition. Let  $m \geq 1$  be coprime to  $\text{char}(k)$ .

(1)  $E_1(k)$  has no non-trivial points of order  $m$ .  
(won't be proved)

(2) If  $\tilde{E}/k$  is nonsingular, then the map  
 $E(k)[m] \rightarrow \tilde{E}(k)$  is injective.

Sato - Tate Conjecture.

Given an EC  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  without CM.

Recall, if  $E$  has good reduction at  $p$ ,

$\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is between  $p+1-2\sqrt{p}$ ,  $p+1+2\sqrt{p}$ .

Write  $p+1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = 2\sqrt{p} \cos \theta_p$   
with  $\theta_p \in [0, \pi]$ .

Theorem. For any  $\alpha, \beta$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{p \leq N : \alpha \leq \theta_p \leq \beta\}}{\#\{p \leq N\}} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \sin^2 \theta \, d\theta.$$

Why  $\sin^2 \theta \, d\theta$ ?

$$SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & -\bar{\beta} \\ \beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

Conj. classes determined by eigenvalues  $e^{\pm i\theta}$ .

Get the pushforward of Haar measure.